

A Sum Theorem for (FPV) Operators and Normal Cones

M.D. Voisei

Abstract

On [3, p. 199] one says “We mention parenthetically that the proof of [99, Lemma 41.3] is incorrect, and we do not know whether it, [99, Theorem 41.5] and [99, Theorem 41.6] are true”. The previously cited reference [99] is our reference [2]. The aim of this short note is to provide a result that improves upon [2, Lemma 41. 3].

Recall that in the context of a Banach space X with dual X^* and coupling $c(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle = x^*(x)$, $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$:

- $\varphi_S(x, x^*) = \sup\{\langle x - s, s^* \rangle + \langle s, x^* \rangle \mid (s, s^*) \in S\}$, $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ stands for the Fitzpatrick function of $S \subset X \times X^*$,
- $z = (x, x^*)$ is monotonically related to (m.r.t. for short) S comes to $z \in [\varphi_S \leq c] := \{w \in X \times X^* \mid \varphi_S(w) \leq c(w)\}$,
- A is of type (FPV) if for every open convex $V \subset X$ with $V \cap D(A) \neq \emptyset$ if $z = (x, x^*)$ is monotonically related to (m.r.t. for short) $A|_V$ and $x \in V$ then $z \in A$ or equivalently if $z = (x, x^*) \notin A$ and $x \in V$ then there is $(a, a^*) \in A|_V$ such that $\langle x - a, x^* - a^* \rangle < 0$. Here $\text{Graph}(A|_S) = \text{Graph}(A) \cap S \times X^*$, $S \subset X$ (see e.g. [4, p. 268], [3, Def. 36.7]). In other words A is of type (FPV) if, for every open convex $V \subset X$ with $V \cap D(A) \neq \emptyset$, $A|_V$ is maximal monotone in $V \times X^*$,
- $x \in \text{cen } D(A)$ means the segment $[x, y] := \{tx + (1 - t)y \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\} \subset D(A)$, for every $y \in D(A)$.

Let us introduce a new class of operators:

- A is called of type *weak-(FPV)* if for every open convex $V \subset X$ with $V \cap D(A) \neq \emptyset$ if $z = (x, x^*)$ is monotonically related to $A|_V$ and $x \in V$ then $x \in D(A)$ or equivalently for every $z = (x, x^*) \in V \setminus D(A) \times X^*$ there is $(a, a^*) \in A|_V$ such that $\langle x - a, x^* - a^* \rangle < 0$. In other words A is of type *weak-(FPV)* if for every open convex $V \subset X$ with $V \cap D(A) \neq \emptyset$, $A|_V$ cannot be extended, as a monotone operator in $V \times X^*$, outside $D(A) \cap V$.

Theorem 1 *Let X be a Banach space, $C \subset X$ closed convex, and $A : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone and of type weak-(FPV) with $\text{cen } D(A) \cap \text{int } C \neq \emptyset$. Then $A + N_C$ is maximal monotone.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $0 \in \text{cen } D(A) \cap \text{int } C$, $0 \in A_0$, and for some $r > 0$, $rU \subset \text{int } C$, where U denotes the unit open ball in X . Since $A + N_C$ is representable (see [5, Cor. 5.6]) it remains to prove that $A + N_C$ is NI (see [5, Remark. 3.5]). Assume by contradiction that $A + N_C$ is not NI, that is, there is $z = (x, x^*) \in [\varphi_{A+N_C} < c] := \{w \in X \times X^* \mid \varphi_{A+N_C}(w) < c(w)\}$. Since for every $y \in C$, $N_C(y)$ is a cone, note that

$$\bar{z} = (\bar{x}, \bar{x}^*) \text{ is m.r.t. } A + N_C \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\bar{z} \text{ is m.r.t. } A|_C \text{ and } \langle \bar{x} - a, \bar{x}^* \rangle \leq 0, \ a \in D(A) \cap C, \ \bar{x}^* \in N_C(a). \quad (1)$$

Therefore z is m.r.t. $A|_C$ and

$$\langle x - a, x^* \rangle \leq 0, \ a \in D(A) \cap C, \ x^* \in N_C(a). \quad (2)$$

Assume that $x \in D(A)$. Since $z \in [\varphi_{A+N_C} < c]$ we know that $x \notin C$ (see [5, Prop. 2.1 (d)]). Therefore, there is $\mu \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mu x \in D(A) \cap \text{Fr } C$ (recall that $0 \in \text{cen } D(A) \cap \text{int } C$). Take $x^* \in N_C(\mu x)$, such that $\langle \mu x - y, x^* \rangle > 0$, for every $y \in \text{int } C$; whence $\langle x, x^* \rangle > 0$, because $0 \in \text{int } C$ and $\mu > 0$. From (2) applied for $a = \mu x$ and since $\mu < 1$ one gets the contradiction $\langle x, x^* \rangle \leq 0$.

Therefore $x \notin D(A)$. For $n \geq 1$, let $V_n := [0, x] + \frac{1}{n}U$. Notice that V_n is open convex, $V_n \cap D(A) \neq \emptyset$, and $x \in V_n$, $n \geq 1$. Since A is weak-(FPV), for every $n \geq 1$, there is $z_n = (a_n, a_n^*) \in A$ such that $a_n \in V_n$ and $c(z - z_n) < 0$. This implies that $a_n \in D(A) \setminus C$, because z is m.r.t. $A|_C$. Hence there is $t_n \in (0, 1)$ such that $x_n = t_n a_n \in \text{Fr } C \cap D(A)$, since $0 \in \text{cen } D(A) \cap \text{int } C$. Let $x_n^* \in N_C(x_n)$, $\|x_n^*\| = 1$, $n \geq 1$. Because $x_n \in V_n$ there is $\lambda_n \in [0, 1]$ such that $\|x_n - \lambda_n x\| \leq \frac{1}{n}$, $n \geq 1$. On a subnet, denoted by the same index for simplicity, we may assume that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \in [0, 1]$, $x_n \rightarrow \lambda x \in \text{Fr } C$, strongly in X , $x_n^* \rightarrow x^* \in N_C(\lambda x)$, weakly-star in X^* as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $\lambda > 0$ because $\lambda x \in \text{Fr } C$ and $0 \in \text{int } C$.

By the monotonicity of N_C for $0 \in N_C(ru)$, $\|u\| < 1$, we get $\langle x_n - ru, x_n^* \rangle \geq 0$ or $\langle x_n, x_n^* \rangle \geq r$, $n \geq 1$. Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ to find $\langle x, x^* \rangle \geq r/\lambda > 0$. From (2) we have $\langle x - x_n, x_n^* \rangle \leq 0$, and after we pass to limit, we get $(1 - \lambda)\langle x, x^* \rangle \leq 0$, $\lambda = 1$, and so $x \in \text{Fr } C$.

Consider $f(t) = (\varphi_{A+N_C} - c)(tz)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$; f is continuous on its domain (an interval) with $f(0) = 0$ and $f(1) < 0$. Therefore there is $0 < t < 1$ such that $f(t) < 0$. This implies that tz is m.r.t. $A + N_C$ (in particular, according to (1), tz is m.r.t. $A|_C$) with $tx \in \text{int } C$, so $tx \in D(A)$, since A is weak-(FPV). From $tx \in D(A) \cap C$ we get the contradiction $f(tz) \geq 0$, that is, $\varphi_{A+N_C}(tz) \geq c(tz)$ (see again [5, Prop. 2.1 (d)]).

This contradiction occurred due to the consideration of the assumption that $A + N_C$ is not NI. Hence $A + N_C$ is NI and consequently maximal monotone (see [5, Th. 3.4]). ■

Therefore [2, Lemma 41.3] and its consequence [2, Th. 41.5] are true. We mention also that a multi-valued version of [2, Th. 41.6] has been proved in [1].

References

- [1] Bauschke Heinz H., Wang Xianfu, and Yao Liangjin. An answer to S. Simons' question on the maximal monotonicity of the sum of a maximal monotone linear operator and a normal cone operator. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 17:195–201, 2009.
- [2] Stephen Simons. *Minimax and monotonicity*, volume 1693 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [3] Stephen Simons. *From Hahn-Banach to monotonicity*, volume 1693 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2008.
- [4] Andrei Verona and Maria Elena Verona. Remarks on subgradients and ϵ -subgradients. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 1(3):261–272, 1993.
- [5] M. D. Voisei. The sum and chain rules for maximal monotone operators. *Set-Valued Analysis*, 16(4):461–476, 2008.